

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HISTOPATHOLOGICAL  
GRADING AND METASTASIS: STUDY FROM COLORECTAL  
CANCER PATIENTS IN MAKASSAR INDONESIA  
*by* Rahmawati Minhajat

---

**Submission date:** 06-Jan-2020 08:14AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1239410550

**File name:** hip\_between\_histopathological\_grading\_and\_metastasis.\_\_1.pdf (478.88K)

**Word count:** 3005

**Character count:** 15581

**PRESENTED IN 23<sup>rd</sup> ASIA PACIFIC CANCER CONFERENCE (APCC), AGUST  
2015, BALL.**

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HISTOPATHOLOGICAL GRADING AND  
METASTASIS: STUDY FROM COLORECTAL CANCER PATIENTS IN  
MAKASSAR INDONESIA**

**Rahmawati Minhajat, Dimas Bayu, Sahyuddin Saleh, Tutik Harjianti Andi Fachruddin  
Benyamin**

Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Internal Medicine <sup>9</sup>Department, Medical  
Faculty of Hasanuddin University, Makassar Indonesia.

## **Abstract**

*Background:* Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a malignancy in the large intestine caused by uncontrolled cell growth. The cause of death due to the metastasis, and the prognosis is determined by the stage that can be assessed using Dukes classification or TNM staging system. Determination of histopathological grading is important because of the differences in radiosensitivity, local behaviour and the metastasis tendency. The <sup>1</sup> aim of this study is to determine the relationship between histopathological grading and metastasis in colorectal cancer patients.

*Methods :* This study is a cross sectional study using secondary datas from the medical record of CRC patients at the RS. Dr. Wahhidin Sudirohusodo and its networking hospital in Makassar Indonesia, that were obtained by consecutive sampling. Inclusion criterias are all patients who had surgery and have the results of histopathology, radiology and other datas that may be used as a reference for determining the staging based on the Dukes classification and TNM staging system.

*Result :* The number of CRC patients during January 2012 to April 2016 was 268 patients, 55,6% of male and most widely in 51-60 years old (25,4%). Most of the CRC location in the rectum (61,2%) and highest location of metastasis is in lymph nodes (40,4%). From 179 patients who had result of histopathological examination, founded adenocarcinoma type (100%) and moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma (45,3%) is the most frequently histopathological grading. Most of CRC stage based on the TNM saging system is stage IV (27,4%) and based on the Dukes classification is stage D (26,8%). There is a significant relationship ( $p < 0,001$ ) between histopathological grading and metastasis, and seems a trend which poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma is more frequent in advanced stage, both based on the Dukes classification and TNM staging system.

*Conclusion* : There is a significant relationship between histopathological grading and metastasis. Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma is more likely to be found in advanced stage of CRC

**Keywords:** Colorectal carcinoma, grading histopathology, metastasis

## THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HISTOPATHOLOGICAL GRADING AND METASTASIS IN COLORECTAL CARCINOMA PATIENTS

### INTRODUCTION

Colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is a malignancy originated from colon caused by uncontrolled cell growth [1,2]. World Health Organization (WHO) reported that CRC incidence is quite high, so is the mortality. In 2008, CRC incidence is 3<sup>rd</sup> among other types of cancer and 4<sup>th</sup> in cause of death due to cancer in the world. In Indonesia, in 2008 mortality of CRC is estimated to be about 11,1 people per 100.000 inhabitant and also the most common malignancy in gastrointestinal [2].

The main cause of death in CRC is metastasis. The most common sites for distant metastasis in CRC are liver, then followed by lung and peritoneum. It's reported that about 5-10% of CRC patient underwent surgery with lung metastasis and about 4% with bone metastasis. Based on literatures, the prognosis of CRC patient is influenced by several parameters, including sex, age, resection of primary tumor, number of metastasis, and tumor differentiation degree [3,4].

CRC patient's prognosis could be determined by staging CRC based on Dukes Classification and TNM (Tumor Nodes Metastasis) system. Both parameters are frequently used to determined CRC's stage, which assessed CRC's cell invasion to intestinal wall, lymph nodes metastasis, and distant metastasis to other organ. Those can be determined through clinical, radiologic, and histologic evaluation, where it's important to determined tumor spread, locally or systemically [5,6].

Histologically, 98% of CRC are adenocarcinoma with most subtype are non-mucinous adenocarcinoma, mucinous adenocarcinoma dan signet ring cell carcinoma. Based on the grading, adenocarcinoma are classified to well differentiation, moderately differentiation, and poorly differentiation. Determination of histological type is important because the differences

in histological features will also vary in terms of radio sensitivity, local behavior, and a tendency for regional and systemic metastasis. CRC's degree of differentiation is an important indicator to assess the potential of local invasion and metastasis systemic [7,8].

<sup>1</sup> The aim of this study is to determine the relationship between histopathological grading and metastasis in CRC patients.

## **METHODS**

This study is a cross sectional study using secondary data from CRC's patient medical record in Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo hospital and other affiliation hospital in Makassar Indonesia since January 2012 until April 2016, both outpatient and inpatient obtained by consecutive sampling. Sample of this study are population studies that met the inclusion criteria i.e. patients who have undergone surgery and have had the histopathological examination result originating from the resection (surgery), patients in it's medical record already provided data on TNM classification/Dukes, or patient medical records contained the results of a CT scan of the abdomen, chest X-ray, abdominal ultrasound, endoscopy, surgery reports and other data that can be used as a reference to determine the stage by the TNM classification and Dukes

<sup>3</sup> Data analysis was performed using the statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 17. The descriptive statistical method used is the calculation of the frequency distribution. <sup>10</sup> The statistical test used Chi Square test (Likelihood Ratio). <sup>6</sup> The test results were considered significant if the p-value <0.05.

## **RESULTS**

Data collection of CRC patient from Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo hospital other affiliation hospital in Makassar Indonesia from January 2012 until April 2016 are 268 people, with male 149 people (55.6%) dan CRC most prevalent between age 51-60 years 68 people (25.4%). (Table 1)

**Table 1. Patient's demographic characteristic**

Characteristic	n	%
Total patient	268	100
Sex		
Male	149	55.6
Age (years)		
11-20	5	1.9
21-30	15	5.6
31-40	35	13.1
41-50	58	21.6
51-60	68	25.4
61-70	58	21.6
>70	29	10.8

From 268 CRC patient, only 179 people met the inclusion criteria. CRC with metastasis was found in 109 people, divided into; locoregional metastases were 60 (33.5%) and distant metastasis are 49 people (27.4%) and 70 (39.1%) with no metastasis. (Table 2)

**Table 2. Metastasis distribution**

Metastasis	n	%
Locoregional metastasis	60	33,5
Distant metastasis	49	27,4
No metastasis	70	39,1
Total	179	100

From histopathological pattern, all CRC patient has adenocarcinoma type (100%), which moderately differentiated is the most frequent histopathological pattern with 81 people (45.3%). (Table 3)

**Table 3. Histopathologic grading distribution**

Histopathology type	n	%
<b>Adenocarcinoma</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>100</b>
Well differetiation	41	22.9
Moderately differetiation	81	45.3
Poor differentiation	50	27.9
Mucinosum type	3	1.7
Signet ring cell type	4	2.2

CRC's distribution based on Dukes classification (Astler Coller Modification), found Dukes D is the most prevalent staging with 48 people (26.8%), followed by Dukes C2 with 44 people (24.6%). In this study, there were 3 people (1.7%) which can not be staging with Dukes classification. (Table 4)

**Table 4. CRC staging distribution based on DUKES classification (Astler Coller Modification)**

Dukes	n	%
A	40	22,3
B1	13	7,3
B2	26	14,5
C1	5	2,8
C2	44	24,6
D	48	26,8
Unknown	3	1,7
Total	179	100

CRC's staging distribution based on TNM system, stage IV is the most the prevalent with 49 people (27.4%) dan the least is stage 0 with 5 people (2.8%). (Table 5)

**Table 5. CRC staging distribution based on TNM system**

Stadium	n	%
0	5	2,8
IA	27	15,1
IB	32	17,9
IIA	8	4,5
IIIA	37	20,7
IIIB	21	11,7
IV	49	27,4
Total	179	100

Correlation between CRC histopathological grading and staging based on Dukes Classification can not be tested, but the result is this study showed tendency of poorly differentiation CRC are more prevalent in advanced stage (C1, C2 and D) compared to early stage. While well differentiation CRC tend to be more common in early stage (A1, B1 and B2). (Table 6)

**Tabel 6. Correlation between histopathological grading with CRC staging based on DUKES classification (Astler Coller Modification)**

		Adenocarcinoma					
		Moderately		Poorly	Signet Ring		
		Well Diff.	Diff.	Diff.	Mucinosum	Cell	Total
Dukes	A <sup>4</sup> n (%)	21 (52.5)	16 (40.0)	1 (2.5)	0 (0.0)	2 (5.0)	40 (100)
	B1 n (%)	5 (38.5)	8 (61.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	13 (100)
	B2 n (%)	5 (19.2)	18 (69.2)	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)	1 (3.8)	26 (100)
	C n (%)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)
	C1 n (%)	0 (0.0)	2 (40.0)	3 (60.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (100)
	C2 n (%)	4 (9.1)	22 (50.0)	15 (34.1)	2 (4.5)	1 (2.3)	44 (100)
	D n (%)	5 (10.4)	13 (27.1)	30 (62.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	48 (100)
Total	n (%)	41 (22.9)	81 (45.3)	50 (27.9)	3 (1.7)	4 (2.2)	179 (100)

*Can not be tested due to many cells with value 0. Diff; differetiation*

Correlation between CRC histopathological grading with staging based on TNM system also can not be tested, the this study showed tendency of poorly differentiation CRC are more prevalent in stage IIIA and above, while well differentiation are more common in stage IIA and below (Table 7)

**Table 7 . Correlation between histopathological grading with CRC staging based on TNM system**

Stadium		Adenocarcinoma					Total
		Well Diff.	Moderately Diff.	Poorly Diff.	Mucinosum	Signet Ring Cell	
0	n (%)	4 (80.0)	1 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (100)
IA	n (%)	12 (44.4)	13 (48.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.7)	1 (3.7)	27 (100)
IB	n (%)	12 (37.5)	19 (59.4)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.1)	32 (100)
IIA	n (%)	2 (25.0)	5 (62.5)	1 (12.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	8 (100)
IIIA	n (%)	4 (10.8)	22 (59.5)	8 (21.6)	2 (5.4)	1 (2.7)	37 (100)
IIIB	n (%)	2 (9.5)	8 (38.1)	10 (47.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.8)	21 (100)
IV	n (%)	5 (10.2)	13 (26.5)	31 (63.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	49 (100)
<b>Total</b>	<b>n (%)</b>	<b>41 (22.9)</b>	<b>81 (45.3)</b>	<b>50 (27.9)</b>	<b>3 (1.7)</b>	<b>4 (2.2)</b>	<b>179 (100)</b>

*Can not be tested due to many cells with value 0*

From the analysis of correlation between CRC histopathological grading with metastasis, there was a significant correlation between CRC differentiation with metastasis ( $p < 0,001$ ). Distant metastasis is the most prevalent in poorly differentiation CRC (62%), while no metastasis is the most prevalent in well differentiation CRC (73.2%). (T able 8)

**Table 8. Correlation between histopathological grading with metastasis**

Adenocarcinoma		Metastase			Total
		<i>Locoregional</i>	Distant	NM	
Well differentiation	n (%)	6 (14.6)	5 (12.2)	30 (73.2)	41 (100)
Moderately differentiation	n (%)	32 (39,5)	13 (16,0)	36 (44,4)	81 (100)
Poorly differentiation	n (%)	18 (36.0)	31 (62.0)	1 (2.0)	50 (100)
Mucinosum type	n (%)	2 (66,7)	0 (,0)	1 (33.3)	3 (100)
Signet Ring cell type	n (%)	2 (50.0)	0 (,0)	2 (50,0)	4 (100)
Total	n (%)	60 (33.5)	49 (27.4)	70 (39.1)	179 (100)

*Likelihood Ratio test (p=0,000). NM; no metastasis*

## I. DISCUSSION

The prognosis of patient with CRC is influenced by several parameters, i.e. sex, age, resection <sup>5</sup> of the primary tumor, number of metastasis lesions and the degree of differentiation [3,4]. The National Cancer Institute (2011), reported that the risk for TRC began <sup>2</sup> to increase after the age of 40 years and increased sharply at the age of 50 to 55 years, the risk has doubled every next decade [9]. In our study, total of CRC, patients who were treated between 2008-2012, found male patients (56%) did not differ in number with female. Mainly found in the productive age range 20-60 years (60.1%) and CRC incidence increases with age and peaks at age 51-60 years (25.4%)

Metastasis in CRC can be grouped in to local and regional (*loco-regional*) metastasis and distant metastasis [10]. Based on metastasis, we found locoregional is 33,3% and distant metastasis 27,2%, while non metastasis CRC is 39.5%.

In this study, of 179 patients who had histopathologic examination, all of it is was adenocarcinoma (100%). Of the study evaluated 100 cases, reported that patients with poorly differentiation showed deeper invasion into the intestinal wall and lymph node metastasis

compared to moderately and well differentiation [11]. The <sup>7</sup> results were consistent with the study conducted by Chung et al [12]. These findings suggested that histological assessment is very significant for the evaluation of clinical and management of CRC patient. The results of our study, found the degree of differentiation of the vast majority were moderately differentiation adenocarcinoma (45.3%) followed by poorly differentiation (27.9%) and well differentiation (22.9%), while the adenocarcinoma type mucinous only found in 3 (1.7%) and signet ring on 4 patient (2.2%).

This study showed a significant correlation between the adenocarcinoma differentiation with metastasis ( $p < 0.001$ ), in which distant metastases are found most prevalent in poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma (62%), while no metastasis is found mostly in well differentiation adenocarcinoma (73.2%). The results are consistent with the study conducted by Riboli RE (1983), which reported an association between adenocarcinoma differentiation with metastasis to lymph nodes in patients with moderately and poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma [13]. The correlation between of differentiation and metastasis in CRC is possible due to increased mitosis and hyper-proliferation of malignant cell in poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma compared to well or moderately differentiation adenocarcinoma. Thus, malignant cell invasion to surrounding tissue and cell penetration through hematogen and lymphogen to metastasis also greater [14].

Nabi U (2010), reported a significant correlation between histology pattern in adenocarcinoma differentiation with CRC staging based on Dukes classification ( $p < 0.000$ ), where poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma mostly found at an advanced stage (Dukes C), otherwise well differentiation adenocarcinoma only found at an early stage (Dukes A and B). [9]. Similarly, Derwinger K (2010), also reported a significant correlation ( $p < 0.001$ ) between histology pattern in adenocarcinoma differentiation with CRC staging based on TNM system, where poorly differentiation linked to the higher number of metastasis to lymph nodes (stage

III) [16]. Although statistically the correlation between the CRC histopathology pattern with staging cannot be tested, but the results of this study showed a tendency of poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma are more prevalent in advanced stage (IIIA and above), while the well differentiation tend to be more prevalent in earlier stage (IIA or lower). Similarly, the correlation between the Dukes classification with CRC histopathology pattern, this study showed a tendency poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma more prevalent in advanced stage (C1, C2 and D), whereas well differentiation adenocarcinoma tend to be more prevalent in the early stages (A, B1, and B2). The tendency for poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma more prevalent in advanced stage CRC, either by Dukes classification and TNM system, also can be caused by the same reason that poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma, has greater ability to mitosis and proliferate, this increased malignant cell invasion and metastasis compared to moderately and well differentiation CRC [15].

## **II. CONCLUSION**

There is a correlation between histopathological grading and metastasis. Poorly differentiation adenocarcinoma is more likely to be found in advanced stage of CRC, while well differentiation adenocarcinoma it more prevalent in non metastasis CRC. Poorly differentiation it more common in advance stage based on Dukes classification (C1, C2, and D) and advanced stadium based on TNM classification (IIIA and above).

## REFERENCES

1. Singapore Ministry of Health. Colorectal cancer. Health promotion board. 2009. Available at: <http://www.hpb.gov.sg>. Accessed September 27, 2011.
2. World Health Organization. Cancer. 2011. Available at: <http://www.who.int/cancer/en/>. Accessed September 27, 2011.
3. Kelompok kerja Karsinoma kolorektal. Pengelolaan karsinoma kolorektal. Panduan klinis nasional. Revisi 2006.pp5-56
4. Lavery IC, Kostner FL, Pelley RJ, et al. Treatment of colon and rectal cancer. *Surg Clin North Am.* 2000;80(2):535-69.
5. Pezzoli A, Matarese V, Rubini M, et al. Colorectal cancer screening: results of a 5-year program in asymptomatic subjects at increased risk. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2007;39(1):33-9.
6. Marzouk O, Schofield J. Review of histopathological and molecular prognostic features in colorectal cancer. *Cancers.* 2011; 3: 2767-810.
7. Mendenhall WM, Bland KI, Copeland EM, et al. Does preoperative radiation therapy enhance the probability of local control and survival in high-risk distal rectal cancer. *Ann Surg.* 1992;215(6):696-705.
8. Rosai J. Gastrointestinal track In: *Ackerman's Surgical Pathology.* 9th ed. St. Louis: Mosby, 2004; pp 750-858.
9. National Cancer Institute. Colon and rectal cancer. Cancer topics. 2011. Available at: <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq>. Accessed September 27th, 2011.
10. McQuaid KR. Alimentary tract cancer. In Tierney JR, et al (eds). *Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment 2008.* Stamford, CT: Appleton and Lange. 2008; pp1411-16.
11. Nabi U, Nagi AH, Riaz S. Morphological evaluation of colorectal carcinoma with grading staging and histological types. *J Pak Med Assoc.* 2010 Dec;60(12):998-1001.

12. Chung CK, Zaino RJ, Stryker JA. Colorectal carcinoma: evaluation of histologic grade and factors influencing prognosis. *J Surg Oncol.* 1982; 21:143-8.
13. Cancer Research UK. Bowel (colorectal) cancer - UK incidence statistics. <http://info.cancerresearchuk.org/cancerstats/types/bowel/incidence/uk-bowel-cancer-incidence-statistics>. Accessed 20th May, 2012.
14. Dayal Y, DeLellis R. The gastrointestinal tract. In: Cotran RS, Kumar V, Robbins SL (eds). *Robbins Pathologic Basis of Disease*. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders. 1989:897-8.
15. Nautiyal J, Du J, Yu Y, et al. EGFR regulation of colon cancer stem-like cells during aging and in response to the colonic carcinogen dimethylhydrazine. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liverl.* 2012;302(7):G655-63.



# THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HISTOPATHOLOGICAL GRADING AND METASTASIS: STUDY FROM COLORECTAL CANCER PATIENTS IN MAKASSAR INDONESIA

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

5%

SIMILARITY INDEX

3%

INTERNET SOURCES

3%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

1 "Recent Advances in Management of Digestive Cancers", Springer Nature, 1993

Publication

2 Submitted to Bath Spa University College

Student Paper

3 [pharmascope.org](http://pharmascope.org)

Internet Source

4 Submitted to The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Student Paper

5 [link.springer.com](http://link.springer.com)

Internet Source

6 [ecronicon.com](http://ecronicon.com)

Internet Source

7 [bmcpublikealth.biomedcentral.com](http://bmcpublikealth.biomedcentral.com)

Internet Source

O  
n  
c  
o  
l  
o  
g  
y  
,  
2  
0  
0  
6  
.

1%

---

1%

---

1%

---

<1%

---

<1%

---

<1%

---

<1%

8

Publication

<1%

---

9

[membership.sciencepublishinggroup.com](https://membership.sciencepublishinggroup.com)

Internet Source

<1%

---

10

Submitted to University of Wales central  
institutions

Student Paper

<1%

---





# Certificate of Attendance

This is to certify that

**Rahmawati Minhajat**

has participated as

**POSTER PRESENTER**

**SYMPOSIUM**

**The 23<sup>rd</sup> Asia Pacific Cancer Conference  
(APCC) 2015**

August 21<sup>st</sup> – 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2015, Bali, Indonesia

**Aru W. Sudoyo, MD, Ph.D**

Congress Chairman of The 23<sup>rd</sup> APCC 2015 and  
President of APFOCC

**Adityawati Ganggaaiswari, MD**

General Secretary of APCC 2015

SK PB IDI No. 1989/PB/A.4/08/2015  
Participant : 12 SKP, Speaker : 14 SKP, Moderator : 6 SKP, Committee : 3 SKP

# Poster List

35	PO 137	Hüseyin Engin M.D.	Turkey	Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis in Patients with Metastatic Colorectal and Gastric Cancer
36	PO 138	Mary Ondinee Manalo	Singapore	Aflibercept in Combination With Fluorouracil, Leucovorin and Irinotecan (FOLFIRI) Pretreatment Serum Carcinoembryonic Antigen Levels are Associated with Chemoradiation-Induced Downstaging and Downsizing of Rectal Cancer
37	PO 139	Seung-Gu Yeo	South Korea	FOLFIRI vs FOLFOX-4 in the Treatment of Colorectal Cancer
38	PO 140	Dairion Gatot	Indonesia	<b>The Relationship Between Histopathological Grading and Metastasis in Colorectal Carcinoma Patients</b>
39	PO 141	Rahmawati Minhajati	Indonesia	Regorafenib (REG) Therapy for Colorectal Cancer: Adverse Events (AE) and Nursing Interventions (NI) at Hokkaido University Hospital
40	PO 142	Masako Nakano	Japan	Angiogenesis and Lymphangiogenesis in Colorectal Cancer: Study Protein Expression of CD105, D2-40 dan VEGF
41	PO 143	Rahmawati Minhajati	Indonesia	Anti-cancer Study of YH308, a Novel Histone Deacetylase Inhibitor, in Human Colorectal Cancer HCT116 Cells
42	PO 144	Chia-Ron Yang	Taiwan	Inter-Relationship Between Clinicopathological Parameters in Post Resection Colorectal Carcinoma – Analysis of 183 Cases During 2010-2014
43	PO 145	Novitasari	Indonesia	Profile of Post Resection Colorectal Carcinoma – Analysis of 183 Cases During 2010-2014
44	PO 146	Novitasari	Indonesia	Cytotoxic Effect of White Tea Containing Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate Synergistically Affect Cell Growth and Apoptosis as an Adjuvant Therapy of Colorectal Cancer
45	PO 147	Ilham Ikhtiar	Indonesia	Carcioid Tumor at Distal Oesophagus In Elderly
46	PO 148	Nur Riviaty	Indonesia	Clinicopathological Characteristics and Survival Analysis of Esophageal Squamous Carcinoma Patients
47	PO 149	Weiquan Lu	China	The Association Between The Duration of Fluoropyrimidine - Based Adjuvant Chemotherapy and Survival in Stage II Or III Gastric Cancer
48	PO 150	Seong-Geun Kim	South Korea	FOLFIRI as more than Second-Line Treatment in Patients with Metastatic Gastric Cancer
49	PO 151	Ha-young Lee	South Korea	Epidemiology of Gastrointestinal Cancer in 1993-2010 in Dharmais National Cancer Hospital (NCH), Jakarta
50	PO 152	Desy Khairina	Indonesia	IMATINIB Mesylate Therapy in CD117 Positive Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor
51	PO 153	Dimas Bayu	Indonesia	Stem Cell Factor Is A Novel Independent Prognostic Biomarker For Hepatocellular Carcinoma After Curative Resection
52	PO 154	Wang Xiuchao	China	Epidemiology Of Hepatobiliar Cancer In 1993-2010 In Dharmais National Cancer Hospital (Nch), Jakarta
53	PO 155	Desy Khairina	Indonesia	

**Emma M**  
<rahmawati.minhajat@gmail.com>



---

Acceptance Letter Poster Presenter APCC 2015  
3 messages

---

**Gita** <gita@pharma-pro.com>  
12:12  
To:

29 July 2015 at

rahmawati.minhajat@gmail.co  
mCc: apcc2015@pharma-  
pro.com

Jakarta, 29 July 2015

Dear Rahmawati Minhajat, MD

On behalf the organizing committee we would like to thank you for your participation on the **The 23<sup>rd</sup> APCC 2015** Bali, We would like to inform your time and number poster presentation as bellow:

1. **Session** : Poster Presenter

**Title:** The Relationship Between Histopathological Grading and Metastasis in Colorectal Carcinoma Patients

**Presentation schedule** : Saturday, August 22<sup>nd</sup> 2015

**Number of Poster** : PO 141

2. **Session** : Poster Presenter

**Title:** Angiogenesis and Lymphangiogenesis in Colorectal Cancer: Study Protein Expression of CD105, D2-40 dan VEGF

**Presentation schedule** : Saturday, August 22<sup>nd</sup> 2015

**Number of Poster** : PO 143

Please kindly stand by in front of your poster on Saturday, August 22<sup>nd</sup> 2015 during coffee break (10.00-10.30) and lunch time (13.00 – 14.00) incase some body will ask about your poster, and we will inform about size of the poster boards: 200cm (height) x 90 cm (wide) and poster printing 90cm (height)x60cm (wide). And with this email, we would like to inform you that you could put on your poster to poster boardson Friday (21<sup>st</sup> August 2015).

Thank you for your kind attention and we are looking forward to meet you in Bali.

For more detail information please visit our website: [www.apcc2015.com](http://www.apcc2015.com)

**With best**

**regards, Gita**

**Handayani**

Komplek Perkantoran Duta Merlin Blok C/35-37

Jl. Gajah Mada 3-5, Jakarta

10130 Phone : +6221-6386-

9502, ext.115 Fax :

+6221-6386-9503/6386-9505

Mobile : +62812.9181.0522

Email : [gita@pharma-pro.com](mailto:gita@pharma-pro.com)

---

 **Confirmation letter Poster Presenter -Rahmawati Minhajat.pdf**  
294K

---

**Rahmawati Minhajat** <rahmawati.minhajat@gmail.com>  
04:21 To: Gita <gita@pharma-pro.com>

30 July 2015 at

Thank you

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Rahmawati Minhajat** <rahmawati.minhajat@gmail.com>  
04:21 To: emma minhajat <emmaminhajat@yahoo.com>

30 July 2015 at

----- Pesan Terusan-----

Dari: "Gita" <gita@pharma-pro.com> Tanggal: 29 Jul 2015  
12.12

Subjek: Acceptance Letter Poster Presenter APCC

2015 Kepada: <rahmawati.minhajat@gmail.com>

Cc: <apcc2015@pharma-pro.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

---

 **Confirmation letter Poster Presenter -Rahmawati Minhajat.pdf**  
294K



5 messages

---

Abstract Acceptance Letter for 23rd APCC 2015

Emma M <rahmawati.minhajat@gmail.com>

---

APCC 2015 <apcc2015@pharma-pro.com>  
15:41 To: rahmawati.minhajat@gmail.com  
Cc: APCC 2015 <apcc2015@pharma-pro.com>

9 July 2015 at

**Dear Rahmawati Minhajat, MD**

First of all thank you for your participation towards the upcoming meetings **23<sup>rd</sup> Asia Pacific Cancer Conference (APCC) 2015, August 20<sup>th</sup> – 22<sup>nd</sup> at Grand Hyatt Nusa Dua Bali, Indonesia.**

Through this letter we would like to inform that your paper title, **The Relationship Between Histopathological Grading And Metastasis In Colorectal Carcinoma Patients; Angiogenesis and Lymphangiogenesis in Colorectal Cancer: Study Protein Expression of CD105, D2-40 dan VEGF;** are accepted.

Please kindly find attached the acceptance letter.  
Thank you and regards,

**Ms. Pusti Aisha**

**Secretariat APCC 2015**

Komplek Perkantoran Duta Merlin Blok C/35-36Jl. Gajah Mada 3-5, Jakarta 10130, Indonesia Phone :  
+6221-6386-9502, Ext. 108  
Fax : +6221-6386-9503/6386  
-9505 Mobile : +62856-9121-2850  
Website: [www.apcc2015.com](http://www.apcc2015.com)  
Email: [apcc2015@pharma-pro.com](mailto:apcc2015@pharma-pro.com)

---

 **Abstract Acceptance Letter for 23rd APCC 2015- Rahmawati Minhajat.pdf**  
688K

---

**1437917089521\_resized.jpg**  
29K



# The 23<sup>rd</sup> Asia Pacific Cancer Conference

## APCC 2015

August 20<sup>th</sup> - 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2015

Grand Hyatt Bali Hotel, Nusa Dua, Bali, Indonesia

Cancer Care for All: From Prevention to Palliation

Bali, July 8<sup>th</sup>, 2015

Subject: Abstract Acceptance Letter for 23<sup>rd</sup> Asia Pacific Cancer Conference (APCC)

2015Dear Rahmawati Minhajat, MD

First of all thank you for your participation towards the upcoming meetings **23<sup>rd</sup> Asia Pacific Cancer Conference (APCC) 2015**, August 20<sup>th</sup> – 22<sup>nd</sup> at Grand Hyatt Nusa Dua Bali, Indonesia.

Through this letter we would like to inform that your paper title, **The Relationship Between Histopathological Grading And Metastasis In Colorectal Carcinoma Patients; Angiogenesis and Lymphangiogenesis in Colorectal Cancer: Study Protein Expression of CD105, D2-40 dan VEGF**; are accepted.

We herewith would like to inform you the importance information below:

IMPORTANT NOTICE:

1. We need you to register soon (if you have not done it) and pay the registration fee before **July 30<sup>th</sup>, 2015**. Upon receiving the prove of registration payment, we will inform you the presentation format (oral / poster) and your schedule of presentation
2. Please inform us immediately after you paid your registration

Thank you for your kind attention.

Sincerely Yours,

Aru W. Sudoyo, MD, Ph.D

Congress Chairman of APCC 2015 and President of APFOCC

dr. Adityawati Ganggaiswari, M. Biomed

General Secretary APCC 2015

For further information please kindly contact the secretariat:

23<sup>rd</sup> APCC 2015 Secretariat  
Ms. Pusti Aisha  
Komplek Perkantoran Duta Merlin Blok C/35-36Jl. Gajah  
Mada 3-5, Jakarta 10130, Indonesia



# The 23<sup>rd</sup> Asia Pacific Cancer Conference

## APCC 2015

**August 20<sup>th</sup> - 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2015**

Grand Hyatt Bali Hotel, Nusa Dua, Bali, Indonesia

*Cancer Care for All: From Prevention to Palliation*

Phone : +6221-6386-9502, Ext.108/ Mobile : +62856-9121-2850

Website: [www.apcc2015.com](http://www.apcc2015.com)

Email : [apcc2015@pharma-pro.com](mailto:apcc2015@pharma-pro.com)

CONGRESS VENUE :

**GRAND HYATT BALI**

P.O. BOX 53, NUSA DUA - BALI, INDONESIA